

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,510	04/30/2001	Carlos Victor Cepeda	09/844,510	9056
75	90 01/12/2005		EXAMINER	
CARLOS CEPEDA			CHARLES, DEBRA F	
AV. VITACURA 2808, PISO 13 SANTIAGO, 10			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHILE	10		3624	
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(3			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	0			
Office Action Summary		09/844,510	CEPEDA, CARLOS VICT	ΓOR			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Debra F. Charles	3624	<u> </u>			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat	tion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	New			
	• •	DEDIVIS SET TO EVRIPE 2 M	MONTH(S) EPOM				
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. 19ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	n reply be timely filed irry (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 30 April <u>2001</u> .					
′—	,	☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits	s is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
-,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
'=	Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		o by the Examiner.				
, , , , ,	Applicant may not request that any objection						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have bee	en received in this National Stage)			
	application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachmer			·				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date	·	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/844,510 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Or alternatively, Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.

- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/844,510

Art Unit: 3624

definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."

- (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where

Art Unit: 3624

particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

- (i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (k) <u>Sequence Listing</u>, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/844,510

Art Unit: 3624

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claim is too broad in scope. Patents are issued on very narrowly defined inventions.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 3624

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the bodies of the claims do not recite technology, i.e. computer implementation or any other technology in a non-trivial manner. *In re Toma*, 197 USPQ 852 (CCPA 1978). *Ex parte Bowman* 61 USPQ2D 1669.

For a claim to be statutory under 35 USC 101 the following two conditions must be met:

1) The claimed invention must produce a "useful, concrete, tangible result" (In re Alappat, 31USPQ2d 1545, 1558 (Fed. Cir. 1994) and State Street vs. Financial Signature Group Inc., 47 USPQ2d 1596' 1601-02 (Fed Cir. 1998));

AND

2) The claimed invention must utilize technology in a non-trivial manner (*Ex parte Bowman*, 61 USPQ2d 1665, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001)).

As to the technology requirement, note MPEP 2106 IV B 2(b). Also note In *re Waldbaum*, 173USPQ 430 (CCPA 1972) which teaches "useful arts" is synonymous with "technological arts". In *Musgrave*, 167USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970), In re Johnston, 183USPQ 172 (CCPA 1974), and In *re Toma*, 197USPQ 852 (CCPA 1978), all teach a technological requirement.

In State Street, "in the technological arts" was never an issue.

The invention in the body of the claim must recite technology. If the invention in the body of the claim is not tied to technological art, environment, or machine, the claim is not statutory. *Ex parte Bowman* 61USPQ2d 1665,1671 (BD. Pat. App. & Inter.2001)(Unpublished).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 3624

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor et al.(U.S.PAT. 6256676B1).

Re claim 1: Taylor et al. disclose a Business Information System that extracting data from the companies' ERPS or similar software packages, honoring their security protocols(Fig. 1(a)-(e),Fig. 10, col. 2, line 40-col. 3, line 20, col. 10, lines 1-10): provides its users

with knowledge reflecting the best industry practices, ready to use (Plug & Play) at a fraction of today's cost (around 5%) thanks to its scope of reaching multiples ERPS, BIS and similar packages and its architecture, production, commercial, distribution and operational systems, that allows to define, to build, to license, to distribute, to advise on use, to feedback, to correct, to expand the number of products of packaged knowledge allowing

Art Unit: 3624

client companies to make available to their users tools(col. 3, lines 20-60, col. 11, lines 50-60) and ready to use reports(col. 23, lines 1-20) accessing their operational data(col. 10, lines 60-65), in their premises, and helping them to manage in a way that reflects the Best Practices of doing Business.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra F. Charles whose telephone number is (703) 305-4718. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5 Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent A. Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Debra F. Charles Examiner Art Unit 3624

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vines Melli